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NATURAL HISTORY.-The codfishes of the Greeks and Romans.* Alfred C. Andrews, University of Miami. (Communicated by Leonard P. Schulitz.)

The codfish family, comprising the gadoids, is a large and important one. In it belong such fishes as the cod, the hake, the haddock, the whiting, the eglefin, the poutassou, the ling, the burbot, the dorse, the coalfish, the pollack, and the bib or pout. Some of these fishes do not occur in the Mediterranean today and therefore presumably were not familiar to the Greeks and Romans. But some are very common in that sea and must have been of considerable economic importance in ancient times.

But a scholar, whether he is an ichthyologist or a classical philologist, will look in vain for a comprehensive discussion of this family. He will find some data in notes on the names of gadoids occurring in certain works of ancient authors, some in etymological articles and reference works, and some in books that deal with classical zoology. There is a short article by Marx in an carly volume of Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll-Mittelhaus on the asellus ${ }^{1}$ and an equally brief one by Steier in a later volume of the same encyclopedia on the mustela. ${ }^{2}$ The former is much out of date, and the two taken together deal with only a portion of the names applied to gadoids. The most valuable of recent reference works concerned with identifications is Sir D'Arey W. Thompson's A glossary of Greek fishes, ${ }^{3}$ frequently cited in this article. Its chief drawback is that the alphabetical organization of the material creates a research problem of no mean scope for a scholar

[^0]who desires information about a particular family or genus of fishes, since the index is incomplete.

As matters now stand, a scholar seeking information regarding codfishes in ancient times is faced with two serious difficulties. The first is that unless he knows before he begins what names were applied or may have been applied to members of this group, he will make little progress. The second is that the meanings assigned to these names are often vague and in some cases actually erroneous.

The present article represents, so far as can be ascertained, the first attempt to treat this family of fishes as a unit, with discussiou of every Greek and Latin name that was or may have been applied to gadoids. This treatment not only makes the relevant information available in convenient and readily accessible form but also it yields more precise meanings for the names themselves. This results from study of these names in relation to one another rather than as isolated terms. Consequently, the identifications of Greek fish names in particular will be found to differ frequently from those of Thompson and from those given in the (rreek-English lexicon of Liddell-scott-Jones.

## 1. Mustela

The mustela or "weaselfish" of the Romams hats generally been identified as the corl, more particularly the $\overline{5}$-bearded rockling, Cadus (Motella) mustela Limaens, although the modern nomenclature suggests that ancient usage was not confined to this species.

The Mediterranean hake, Phycis mediterraneus

De la Roche, is known today as musdea at Pola and as musdea and musileca at Rome ${ }^{4}$; and such names lave earlier been reported as moustelo at Marseille (Marion), moustella bruna at Nice (Risso), mustella de scheuggio at Genoa (Sassi), musilea at Naples (Costa), and musdea di funnu in Sicily (Döderlein). ${ }^{5}$

The fork-beard hake, Phycis blennioides Bloch aut isclmeider, is also called muslea and nusdeca at Rome, ${ }^{6}$ and such earlice names have been reported as moustelo blane and moustelo de roco in the Provence (Réguis), moustìle at Marseille (Brünnich), moustella blanca at Nice (Risso), mustella at Genoa (Sassi), mustià at Cagliari (Targioni), and mustea and mustià at Palermo (Dörlerlein). ${ }^{7}$

The 3 -bearded rockling, Motella tricirrata Nilsson, is also known as musdea and musdeca at Rome, musdea at Catanzaro, and mostella at Livorno. ${ }^{5}$ Scholars have previously reported such names as mostel at Mallorca (Barcelo), moustelo in the Provence (Réguis), moustelo at Marseille (Marion), moustella at Nice (Risso), mostella at Genoa (Targioni), musdea de funnale at Naples (A. Costa), and mustedda and mustiddlu in Sicily (Rafinesque). ${ }^{9}$

For Motella maculata (iünther such names have been reported as moustello at Nice (Risso) and moustelo in the Provence (Réguis). ${ }^{10}$

For Motella fusca Bonaparte such names have been reported as mostel at Mallorca (Barcelo), moustela at Nice (Risso), muslea de scoglio for the male and musdea de fangu for the female at Naples, as well as musdea de funnu (Costa). ${ }^{11}$

And for liraleptus maraldi Costa such names have been reported as moustella negra (Risso) and moustelo negro (Réguis) at Nice. ${ }^{12}$

In addition, it may be noted that Larousse defines. French moustèle as a generic term for Motclla sp.

The modern names that come from mustela obviously do not apply to a single species but to several closely related ones, more especially Phycis sp, and Motella sp. This does not, how-
${ }^{4}$ Cf. La pesca nei mari e nelle acque interne d'Italia, Ministerio dell' Agricoltura e delle Foreste, Roma, Istituto Poligrafico dello Stato, 3: S0, \&t. 1931.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Julius V. Carus, Prodromus faunae mediterraneae 2: Ј̄̄ั-5゙6. Stuttgart, 18\$5-93.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. La pesca nei mari 3: So.
־Cf. Carus, op. cit., 2: $\overline{7} \overline{7}$.

- Cf. La pesca nei mari 3: $\$ 3,51,62$.
${ }^{9}$ Cf. Carus, op. cil., 2: $\overline{\text { ōt }}$.
${ }^{10}$ Ibid.: $\overline{0} \mathrm{~S}$ S.
${ }^{11}$ Ibid.
${ }^{12}$ Ibid.: 5 T4.
ever, justify an immediate conclusion that mustela in the classical era harl a similarly diverse application. The history of fish names reflects a tendency for an originally limited application to spread to other closely related and similar fishes. The morlern nomenclature does, however, exhibit two noteworthy features. The first is that there have been only sporadie survivals of musteli, found almost exelusively along the shores of the Tyrrhenian and Ligurian Seas, more especially at Marseille, Nice, Genoa, Rome, Naples, and Palermo. This suggests that in the elassical period the use of mustela in the speech of the common people was probably largely confined to that area. The sccond is that these survivals do not predominate for any one species but are applied in approximately equal degree to various species of Phycis and Motella. Generally, when a name which was once narrowly restricted in application spread to other species, the survivals in modern nomenclature contain a clue to the original application. Those which are applied to other than the original species tend to be less numerous and also to be qualified in some way. Neither tendency is clearly manifest in this instance, and this gives some basis for believing that Latin mustela similarly did not refer specifically to Gadus (Motella) mustela, but to several closely related species, more especially Phycis sp. and Motclla sp.

The mustela discussed above is the one which Ennius ${ }^{13}$ considered superior to all other fishes when caught at Clipea and Pliny ${ }^{14}$ ranked second only to the scarus (the parrotfish, Scarus cretensis Curier and Valenciennes) in his time, although it was esteemed chiefly for its liver. But when Pliny says that mirum dictu there was a mustela in the Lake of Constance which in excellence of taste compared favorably with the marine mustela, he is referring to the burbot or eelpout, Lota vulgaris Cuvier, which even today attains unusual excellence in the same lake. Finds at Robenhausen testify to use of this fish for food as early as the prehistoric period. ${ }^{15}$ It has long been
${ }^{13}$ Heduph. 1 (apud Apul. Apol. 39). Thompson (op. cit.: 169) thinks that this may be the lamprey. His identification seems to depend in part upon taking Clupea to be a fish name rather than a place name. E. H. Warmington (Remains of Old Latin, Loeb Classical Library, 1: 409, note a) similarly would read clupeis for Clupea and take it as the tiny fresh-water fish described by Pliny (N.II. 9.44)
${ }_{14}$ N. $H .9 .63$.
${ }^{15}$ Cf. L. Rütimeyer, "Die Fauna der Pfahlbauten in der Schweiz," Neue Denkschr. allg. Schweiz. Ges. Naturw., 19: 114. 1862.
esteemed as a great delicacy，but more on the continent than in England．The flesh is white and delicate，the liver being the most delicious morsel．${ }^{16}$ This species also occurs in lakes of northern Italy，where it is known by such names as bottatrice（dial．bottris，boza）at Milan，bottra－ trice at Brescia，and bottatrice（dial．bosa trisa） at Verona．${ }^{17}$ Bottatrice is apparently a com－ pound of botta with trische（trüsche），the second element being the more common name of this species in German Switzerland．${ }^{18}$ The first ap－ pearance of＊lotta as a name for the burbot is in the tenth century．This is evidently a Gallic word，the source of French lotte and Spanish and Portuguese lota．${ }^{19}$ Ausonius ${ }^{20}$ describes the mus－ tela and speaks of it as occurring in the Donau and the Moselle rather than in the Lake of Con－ stance．His mustela may also be the burbot，${ }^{21}$ although it has been identified by some as the lampern or lamper－eel，Petromyzon fluviatilis Linnaeus，${ }^{22}$ which is regarded by many epicures as a real delicacy．Neither identification is wholly satisfactory．${ }^{23}$ Columella＇s allusion to the voracity of the mustela ${ }^{24}$ also suggests the burbot，which is a notorious fish of prey，although Thompson ${ }^{25}$ thinks that it may be the lamprey． The burbot is a close relative of the cod and hake， classified by Linnaeus as a Gadus，and the tend－ ency of the Romans to refer to it by the same name is therefore understandable．

## 2．$\Gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$

Greek $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ ，like Latin mustela，means ＂weaselfish．＂Aristotle ${ }^{26}$ speaks of a radeós with many pyloric caeca，which led Schneider to emend to $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta},{ }^{27}$ a change approved by Hoff－

[^1]man．${ }^{28}$ This emendation was motivated by the fact that $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon$ ós was the usual term for a dogfish， which has no pyloric appendages，whereas the Gadidae do．That a copyist＇s error of this sort should have occurred is comprehensible，since radés was a more common fish name than $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ ．It is noteworthy，moreover，that Suidas confused $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ with $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon$ cós and that some early scholars of the modern period made the mistake of identifying the $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ as a type of dogfish．

The first certain occurrence of $\gamma \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ is a bare mention by Epaenetus，${ }^{29}$ who was active about 100 B．C．Aelian，${ }^{30}$ who clearly distinguishes the $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ from the $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ ，says that the $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ is much like the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s,{ }^{31}$ for it is a small fish with dull eyes，the pupils approximately dark blue．Its barbel is larger than that of the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$, he says， and smaller than that of the $\chi \rho^{\prime} \epsilon_{\mu} \eta s$ ；it feeds on seaweed and is a rockfish，and like the land $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ （the weasel）allegedly eats the eyes of corpses it encounters．He gives Epirus as its habitat． There is no other ascertainable occurrence of the word as a fish name in the classical period．It is noteworthy that except for the single conjectural occurrence of the word in Aristotle it is not met in the literature until about 100 B．C．This cir－ cumstance suggests that it is possible that $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ is a mere translation of mustela，which was in use at least as early as the second century B．C．and was a much more common name．

Aelian＇s account points to the burbot，Lota vulgaris Cuvier，although H．Gossen ${ }^{32}$ maintains that it is an accurate description of the 3 －bearded rockling，Motella tricirrata Nilsson，which is said to be good eating，although it is now little used
${ }^{28}$ Cf．H．A．Hoffman and D．S．Jordan，＂A Catalogue of the Fishes of Greece，＂Pro：．Aead． Nat．Sci．Philadelphia 1892： 234.
${ }^{29}$ Apud Athen． $7.328 . f$ ．
${ }^{30}$ Nat．an．15．11；ef．Plin．N．M．32．53．
${ }^{31}$ Despite Steier，Aelian does not give ${ }^{\text {グтatas }}$ as a synonym of $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ but merely says that the $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$
 interpretation of Aelian＇s passage and overly hasty in aceepting the inference of Alfred Papendick （Die Fischnamen im griechisch－latcinischen Ctos－ saren：34．Königsberg，1926）from the equation of mustela with ク̈rтatos in（＇GL 3：S9， 16 that ra入户， mustela，and $\eta \pi a t o s$ are all equivalent．On the other hand，the synonymy of mustele and $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ is attested by many lemmas in CGL，viz．，2：131，59；261，16； 3：18， 57 ； 90,$69 ; 189,43 ; 256,65 ; 259,35 ; 361,70$ ； 471,17 ，as well as the form radéa，viz．，3：132， 50 ； $18 \overline{1}, 16 ; 320,39 ; 431,46 ; 495,57 ; 512,61$ ．
${ }_{32}$＂Dic Tiernamen in Ölians 17 Büchern $\pi \in \rho i$ కథ́wv，＂Quellen und studien Gesehiehte Naturw． und Medizin 4 （3）（hereafter cited as＂． Melian＂＂），$^{\text {（3 }}$ par．140．1935；＂\％oologisches hei Athenaios，＂ idem 7 （2／3）（hereafter cited as＂Athen．＂）： 262. 1939.
except by the poor, since its odor a few hours af ter death becomes distinctly unpleasant. ${ }^{33}$ This weakens the identification of Cossen, who incidentally fails to explain the presence of a marine species in Epirus. It is notewortlyy that $\gamma$ á $\iota a$ is the regular Morlem Greck name for the burbot ${ }^{34}$ and that this species has been known as galea in Liguria and at the northern end of the Adriatic, ${ }^{35}$ a name whieh goes back to a Greek form radé $\eta$. ${ }^{36}$ It should be remarked, however, that the lamprey is called $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\eta}$ and $\gamma a \lambda \in i \bar{a}$ in Modern Greek. ${ }^{37}$

Hesychius of Alexandria gives $\gamma \dot{\text { a }}$ o $\iota$ as a synonym of $\gamma \dot{a} \delta o s$, and Hesychius and the Lexicon of Cyrillus give $\gamma \dot{a} \lambda a$ as a synonym of ráos. On the first equation Schweighäuser ${ }^{38}$ comments that perhaps $\gamma a \operatorname{do} \iota$ is a corruption of $\gamma a \lambda i a$, and on the second he remarks that possibly $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda a$ is for $\gamma a \lambda \bar{a}$, which would be a contraction of $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon \in$. Gossen ${ }^{39}$ emends the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda a$ of Hesyehius' second equation to ra入apias, identifying the fish as the poor-cod, Gadus minutus Limnacus. This is of no value as a food fish, ${ }^{40}$ although it is fairly common in the Mediterrancan. ${ }^{41}$ If the names which appear in Hesychius and the Lexicon of Cyrillus are actually corruptions of $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ or variants of that name, $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ must have been used, at least in the late period, as a synonym of $\gamma \dot{a} \delta o s$, which is discussed later in this article.

Hesychius also defines ra入iá as òvioкоь, similarly identified by Gossen ${ }^{42}$ as the poor-cod. The $\dot{\text { v } i \sigma \kappa o s ~ i s ~ d i s c u s s e d ~ b e l o w . ~}$

Since the carliest certain occurrence of $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ is comparatively late, since the term is found only in two technical writers of the classical period, if we exclude Aristotle, and since it survives to only a limited extent in the modern nomenclature, it is a logical inference that the term was probably not in popular use, but was merely a translation of mustela, as has already heen suggested. We

[^2] b 17 and Hoffman-Jordan, loc. cit. (see note 28).
${ }^{35}$ Cf. P. Rolla, Iltiologia populare: 21. Casale, 1906.
${ }^{35}$ Cf. Gerhard Rohlfs, Etymologisches Hörterbuch der unteritalienischen Gräzität, no. 407. Halle, 1930.
${ }^{37} \mathrm{Cf}$. Thompson, Glossary: 16 S.
${ }^{38}$ Note on Athen. $7.315 . f$.
39 "Die zoologischen Glossen im Lexikon des Hesych," Quellen und Studien Gesch. Naturw. und Medizin 7 (1) (hereafter cited as "Hesych."): nos. 33 S and 341. 1937.
${ }^{10}$ Cf. Day, op. cil. (see note 16) 1: $2 S \$$.
${ }^{51} \mathrm{Cf}$. Carus, op. cil. (see note 5) 2: 571.
42 "Hesych." (see note 39), no. 345.
have already seen that in Aelinn its nse as a term for the burbot parallels one common application of mustela, but the application of mustela to the burbot almost certainly represents an extension from its marine relatives. It is therefore perhaps not pressing the meagre evidence too hard to assume that in Greek technical writers $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ was used as a term for Phycis sp. and Motella sp.

## 3. " $Н \pi a \tau o s ~$

It was pointed out above that Aelian says that the $\ddot{\eta \pi} \pi$ ros is very similar to the $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$, which he describes as a small fish with dull eyes, the pupils approximately dark blue, with a barbel larger than that of the $\ddot{\eta \pi a \tau o s . ~ E l s e w h e r e ~}{ }^{43}$ he describes the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ as a large, but sluggish, fish that lurks in hiding places of the sea. Aristotle deseribes the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ as a fish with few caeca, ${ }^{44}$ solitary, carnivorous, with serrate teeth, black in color, with large eyes and a triangular, white heart. ${ }^{45}$ Speusippus ${ }^{46}$ says that it resembles the фároos (the braize, Pagrus vulgaris Cuvier and Valenciennes) and the $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \rho i \nu o s$ (possibly the Merliterranean barbier, Serranus anthias Cuvier and Valenciennes). Eubulus ${ }^{47}$ says that it has no gall. Diocles ${ }^{45}$ refers to it as a rockfish. Hegesander ${ }^{49}$ states that it has two stones in its head, similar in luster and color to those found in oysters, but rhomboid in shape. Such ear stones might be found in any bony fish, but not in the shark family. Phylotimus ${ }^{50}$ classifies the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ as a fish with soft flesh. Galen ${ }^{51}$ says that it has flesh of intermedate consistency, despite Phylotimus. Oribasius ${ }^{52}$ also calls it a fish with flesh of intermediate consistency, and Xenocrates says that the flesh is moderately delicate and of relatively loose texture, ${ }^{53}$ and should not be eaten in the fall, for then it is of barl juice. ${ }^{54}$ Marcus Sidonius ${ }^{55}$ speaks of it as

[^3]crooked-toothed. It is apparently this fish which Pliny ${ }^{56}$ calls hepar.
J. P. J. M. Brands ${ }^{57}$ explains this name as a derivative of $\tilde{\eta} \pi a \rho$, "liver," meaning literally "liverish," which seems correct, and says that the fish is unidentifiable. F. A. Wood ${ }^{58}$ conjectured that the fish was so named because it was liver-colored. Reinhold Strömberg ${ }^{59}$ accepts this as one possibility, calling attention (as does Wood) to $\dot{\eta} \pi a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, "to be liver-colored," and $\dot{\eta} \pi a \tau i \tau \iota s, ~ " l i v e r-c o l o r e d, " ~ b u t ~ a l s o ~ p o i n t s ~$ out that it may have been so named because it had a large liver. He suggests that the statement of Eubulus that the fish had no gall may represent only an inference from the name. But D'Arcy W. Thompson ${ }^{60}$ suspects that the source of $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ is Egyptian abtu, a word for a fish occurring in the Book of the Dead. He considers the fish unidentified, stating that it was supposed by Belon and by Cuvier, on no valid grounds, to be the haddock or some similar gadoid fish. More specifically, Cuvier ${ }^{61}$ identified the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ as the eglefin, Gadus aeglefinus Linnaeus, which does not occur in the Mediterranean. ${ }^{62}$ Gossen ${ }^{63}$ on the basis of Aristotle's description identifies the fish as Molva elongata Risso, a type of ling belonging to the gadoid family and found in the Mediterranean.

## 4. $\Lambda \in \beta i a s$

Aristotle's description of the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s^{64}$ is quoted by Athenaeus, ${ }^{65}$ who gives $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias as a synonym of $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ and cites Diocles as classifying the $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias as a rockfish and Speusippus as comparing it to the фá ${ }^{\prime} \rho o s$ (the braize). Hesychius defines $\lambda \in \beta$ ias as a type of preserved fish with the scales left on, also used as a term for a type of lake fish. Aristophanes ${ }^{66}$ lists the $\lambda \in \beta$ ias along with the $\sigma \kappa \dot{o} \mu \beta \rho o s$ (the common mackerel, Scomber scomber Linnaeus), the кодias

[^4](the coly mackerel, Scomber colias Gmelin), the $\sigma a \pi \epsilon \in \rho \delta \eta$ (a type of scienid), the $\mu \dot{v} \lambda \lambda o s$ (a type of scienid), and the $\theta \dot{v} \nu \nu$ (the common tunny, Thynnus vulgaris Cuvier and Valenciennes). Pollux ${ }^{67}$ lists the same six fishes. Diphilus ${ }^{68}$ compares the $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias to the $\sigma$ idoupos (probably here the sheatfish, Silurus glanis Linnaeus) and says that both were popular among the Rhodians. Archestratus ${ }^{69}$ speaks of buying both the $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias and the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ at Delos and Tenos.

Gossen ${ }^{70}$ regards $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias as a mere synonym of $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ and similarly identifies it as Molva elongata. Thompson ${ }^{71}$ identifies the $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias as Labeo niloticus Cuvier. This may be correct in so far as the name refers to a Nile fish, but as a term for a marine fish of the Nediterranean it must have denoted a different species. In the latter sense it was identical with or very similar to the $\eta \pi \pi a \tau o s$, and both were very much like the $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$, which is almost certainly a gadoid. It is very probable, therefore, that both of these names were used as terms for one or more of the gadoids, although there is no ascertainable survival of either name in the modern nomenclature.

## 5. $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \kappa a \nu o ́ s$

Euthydemus ${ }^{72}$ says that a fish known as the $\delta \epsilon \lambda \kappa a \nu$ vós was named after the river Delcos, where it was caught, and was very wholesome pickled in brine. Hesychius defines Delcos as a marsh in Thrace that was rich in fish. Dorion ${ }^{73}$ says that this $\delta \epsilon \lambda \kappa a v o{ }^{\prime} s$ was identified by some with the $\lambda \in \beta$ ias. Hesychius defines $\lambda \in \beta$ ias as sometimes meaning a lake fish.

Cossen ${ }^{74}$ identifies this fresh-water $\delta \epsilon \lambda \kappa \alpha \nu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ as Barbus plebeius Valenciennes, a small barbel which occurs in both the Italian and the Balkan lakes and rivers. It has already been pointed out that mustela certainly and $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ probably were applied not only to certain marine gadoids, but also the the fresh-water burbot, Lota vulgaris Cuvier. It seems very likely that both the $\delta \in \lambda \kappa a \nu$ ós and the lake $\lambda \in \beta$ ias were also the burbot.
${ }^{67} 6.4 \mathrm{~S}$.
${ }^{68}$ Apud Athen. 4.132.d (in Kock, op. cit. 2: 545).
${ }^{69} \mathrm{Ib} .7 .301 \mathrm{dl}$ (frg. $30 \mathrm{Ribbeck} ;$ frg. 27 Brandt ).
70 "Ithen." (see note 32): 261.
${ }^{71}$ Op. cil.: 9, 146; cf."On E!yplian Fish N゙ames Iscd by Greek Writers,' Journ. Vivylian Arehaeol. 14: 23-24. 192 S.
$7_{2}$ Apual Athen. 3.118.b.
${ }^{73}$ Ibid.
74"Hesych." (see note 39), nos. 427 and 1259) "Athen." (sec note 32) : 240 .

## (j. $11 \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$

Oppian ${ }^{75}$ speaks briefly of the $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \dot{\omega} \nu$ as at fish whicll lurks in lairs in the deptlis of the seat and, being large anrl powerful in borly, but somewhat sluggish in movement, lies in wait for smatler fisll. Aelian ${ }^{76}$ desoribes the fish in almost irlentical terms. Suidas says that $\pi \rho \in \pi \omega \nu$ and $\pi \rho o o^{\beta} \beta$ avo were alternative terms for a very large fish known as v̈mazos. The fish is also mentioned by Marens sidonius. ${ }^{77}$ The deseription of the fish, the fact that suilas identifies it with the $\dot{\sim} \pi a \tau o s ~(p r o p e r l y ~ \eta ̈ \pi a \tau o s ?), ~ a n d ~ t h e ~$ fart that Aelian describes the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ in almost identical language in the same passage suggest that this is one of the Gadidae. ${ }^{78}$

## 7. II óßatov

The $\pi \rho o ́ \beta a \tau o \nu$, or "sheepfish," is described in precisely the sime terms as the $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ by both Oppian ${ }^{79}$ and Aelian, ${ }^{80}$ except that the formers ${ }^{51}$ also siy: that when hooked it often escaped by merely becoming al dead weight on the line, sinking to the bottom. Although Suidas regarded $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ and $\pi \rho o ́ \beta a \tau o \nu$ as synonymous, both Oppian and Aelian clearly considered them different fish. Rondelet reported that the umbra (one of the scienids) was called "sea-sheep" by the Greeks in his day. Belon thought that the fish might be Merlangus poutassou. Mairs2 identifies it merely as one of the Garlidae. Gossen ${ }^{83}$ calls it specifically. Merluccius vulgaris Fleming. Thompson ${ }^{54}$ regards it as unidentified.

## 8. "Opos

Ancient sources yield much more information regarding the ovos or "as-fish." Aristotle ${ }^{85}$ says that some fishes hide in the sand and some in
${ }^{\text {³ }}$ Hal. 1.146 ff .
${ }^{76}$. 'at. an. 9.3 S .
its.
is Cf. Mair, note on Oppian. loc. cit. Gossen ("Aelian" [see note 32], par. 140) says that it is probably the coalfish, Gadus virens Linnaeus, which is rare in the Mediterranean. Thompson (op.cit., p. 21S) states only that it is an unknown, large, deep-sea fish. Strömberg (op. cit. [see note 59]: 33) regards $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu$ as a present participle of $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$, "shine forth," hence "excellent," "that which is suitable (for eating)," and infers from Aelian that the term belonged to the fishermen's patois.
${ }^{79}$ Loc. cit.
so Loc. cit.
${ }^{81}$ Hal. 3.139 ff .
${ }^{2}$ Loc. cit.
${ }^{53}$ Loc. cil.
${ }^{34}$ Op. cil.: 220 .
${ }^{\text {s5 }}$ Hisl. an. 599 b 26 ff .
the murl, but the majority lide only rluring the winter, rustareans, rorkfishes, rays, and cartilaginous fishes hiding only duing extremely severe weather, as is evident from the fact that they arenot raught when the weather is extremely cold. But some fishes hisle in summer, for example, the $\gamma \lambda a u ̈ \kappa o s$ (unidentifierl), which hides in summer for about 60 dives. The övos and the хрúбoфpus (the gilt-head, Chrysophrys aurala Cuvier and Valenciennes) also hide in summer, and that the övos hides for a long period may be inferverl from the fact that there is a long period when it is not caught. Oppian ${ }^{56}$ also comments on the fact that the ofos stays in liding during the heat of summer. Aristotle also say's ${ }^{57}$ that the övos, the $\beta \dot{\alpha}$ тos (the skate, Raja sp.), the $\psi \tilde{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha$ (the plaice, Pleuronectes sp.), and the $\dot{\rho} i \nu \eta$ (the angel-shark, Rhina squatina Rafinesque) burrow in the sand, and after concealing themselves angle with the filaments that they have in their mouths, which fishermen call their fishing rods. In Pliny's rendering of this passage ${ }^{53}$ there is no reference to the óvas. Athenaeus ${ }^{59}$ quotes Aristotle as saying that the obvos, like sharks and dogfishes ( $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon o i$ ), has a widely gaping mouth and is not gregarious. It is the only fish that has its heart in its stomach, ${ }^{90}$ and in its brain it has stones resembling millstones. It is also the only fish that hives in holes during the hottest dogdays, all the others going into hiding during the severest winter weather. Aristotle ${ }^{91}$ in a dubious passage says that it angles like the $\beta$ áт $\rho a \chi$ os (the fishing frog, Lophius piscatorius Linnaeus). Epicharmus ${ }^{92}$ refers to the stomach of the obvos as extraordinary. ${ }^{93}$ delian ${ }^{94}$ also says that the övos is not gregarious and is the only fish that has its heart in its stomach and has stones in its head resembling millstones, being also the only fish to go into hiding in the dogdays, all the others hiding only in the severest winter weather. Oppian speaks

[^5]of the oboos as a very large fish that sinks to the bottom when hooked, instead of fighting, and by sheer weight often breaks the line and gets free, ${ }^{95}$ and gives the deep sea as its habitat. ${ }^{96}$ Phylotimus ${ }^{97}$ lists the övos among the soft-fleshed fishes.

This is all the useful information regarding the övos obtainable from ancient sources, and most of it clearly stems from Aristotle. The modern nomenclature is not helpful, for övos has been largely replaced by names based on rádos. Bussemaker and Cresswell identified the övos as the cod, Gadus muslela Linnaeus; Cuvier and Littré, as the 3 -bearded rockling, Molella lricirrala Nilsson; and C. D. Badham ${ }^{98}$ thought that it might be the sea-tench or Mediterranean hake, Phycis medilerraneus De la Roche, which he says has a barbel and a large head and is the only gadoid with barbels frequenting southern seas. Camus ${ }^{99}$ identified it as the eglefin, Gadus aeglefinus Linnaeus, which does not occur in the Mediterranean. ${ }^{100}$ Gossen ${ }^{101}$ identifies it as the bib or pout, Gadus luscus Linnaeus, which is only a wanderer in the Mediterranean, stragglers being taken as far to the east as Italy, but almost never as far as Greece. ${ }^{102}$ Brands ${ }^{103}$ goes no farther than to say that it is one of the Gadidae. The övos has generally been identified as the common hake, Merluccius vulgaris Cu vier, ${ }^{104}$ which occurs in the Mediterranean, especially along the northern shores, and in the Adriatic, and has coarse flesh that may be cut into steaks and fried or cooked in pies. ${ }^{105}$ If the text of Aristotle is correct, it should be referred rather to the Mediterranean hake, Phycis medilerraneus De la Roche, and the fork-beard hake, Phycis blennioides Bloch and Schneider, ${ }^{106}$
${ }^{95}$ Hal. 3.138 ff .
${ }_{96}$ Ibid. 1.151.
${ }_{97}$ Apud Galen. Alim. fac. 3.29 (p. 368.1 Helmreich).
${ }_{98} P$ rosc halieutics, or ancicnt and modern fish tatlle: 353. London, 1854 .
${ }^{93}$ II istoire des animaux d'Aristote, avec la traduction francaise 2: 84. Paris, 1783.
${ }^{100} \mathrm{Cf}$. Day, op. cit. (see note 16) 1: 283.
101 "Hesych." (see note 39), nos. 338 and 1557;
"Aelian" (see note 32), par. 140; "Athen." (see note 32): 261.
${ }^{102}$ Cf. Day, op. cit. (see note 16) 1: 286; Carus, op. cit. (see note 5) 2: 572 .
${ }^{103}$ Op. cit.: 171.
${ }^{104}$ Cf. Mair's ed. of Oppian, introd.: Lxv; Paul Barbier, "Noms de poissons," Rev. Langues Romanes 53: 27. 1910.
${ }^{105}$ Cf. Day, op. cit. (see note 16) 1: 300.
${ }^{106} \mathrm{Cf}$. Thompson, note on Aristot. Hist. an. 599 b 34; Glossary: 182; Mair, loc. cit.
both of which are common in the Mediterranean. ${ }^{107}$

## 9. 'Одібкоз

With regard to the oviokos, the chief problem is whether or not it is identical with the övos, of which this is a diminutive form. Oppian, to judge from the data he gives, considered them different fishes; and Dorion ${ }^{108}$ definitely differentiates the two; but Galen ${ }^{109}$ says that what Phylotimus called övos others called ò óбкоs; and Eustathius ${ }^{110}$ and Hesychius give òioкos as a synonym of övos. The oviokos was certainly closely related to the övos, if it was not identical with it. It was a white fish ${ }^{111}$ that lived in the sea, ${ }^{112}$ feeding in the mud and the shallows. ${ }^{113}$ It was not a rockfish, although its flesh was of equal firmness, according to Galen. ${ }^{14}$ On the other hand, Xenocrates ${ }^{115}$ speaks of the flesh as of intermediate consistency, and Oribasius ${ }^{116}$ refers to it as soft, but not particularly friable, and devoid of excrementitious humors. Nenocrates also states ${ }^{117}$ that the flesh is not acrid, is of good juice, difficult to assimilate, easy to pass, and nourishing. Since it was used as bait for the tunny, ${ }^{118}$ the óviokos could not have been a very large fish. Oribasius ${ }^{119}$ mentions a freshwater type. The marine type was considered unsuitable for salting. ${ }^{120}$

Barbier ${ }^{121}$ identifies the oviokos with the övos and refers it likewise to the common hake, Merluccius vulgaris Cuvier. Bussemaker distinguished it from the övos and identified it as the common whiting, Gadus merlangus Linnaeus (Merlangus vulgaris Fleming). Thompson ${ }^{122}$ believes that the ovionos is probably one of the lesser species of the cod family, perhaps the poutassou, Merlangus poutassou Risso, the 3-
${ }^{107}$ Cf. Day, op. cit. (see note 16) 1: 303; Carus, op. cil. (see note 5) 2: 575 .
${ }^{108}$ Apud Athen. 7.315.f.
${ }_{109}$ Alim. fac. 3.29 (p. 368.21 Helmreich).
110 Page 862.
${ }_{11}$ Marc. Sid. 11.
${ }^{112}$ Galen. Alim. fac. 3.40 (p. 384.18 I Iehmreich); Dc bon. mal. suc. 3 (p. 397.1 Ilelmreich).
${ }^{113}$ Oppian. IIal. 1.105.
${ }^{114}$ De bon. mal. suc. 9 (p. 417.24 Helureich).
${ }_{115} \mathrm{Alim}$. aquat. 1.
${ }_{116}$ Coll. med. 3.2.11; 4.1.37.
${ }_{117}$ Alim. aquat. 14.
118 Oppian. Hal. 3.191.
${ }_{119}$ Coll. med. 3.15.5.
${ }_{120}$ (ialen. Alim. fac. 3.40 (p. 384.18 Hehmreich); Oribas. Coll. med. 1.1.37.
${ }^{121}$ Loc. cil. (see note 104).
${ }^{122}$ Glossary: 181-182.
bearted rockling，Motella tricirralu Nilsson，or the poorerod，Giadus minutus Limnaens．The rommon whiting hats been much esteemed as a food fish，more so perhaps than any other species of the genus，being very easy to digest except in April，May，and June，when it is out of condition and may cauce nausea and even romiting．It is best eaten immerhately after capture，since it decomposes rapidly．When the takes exceed the demand，whitings are often salted or chried．${ }^{123}$ since the marine ovionos was not suited for salting，it is probably not the common whiting． Another significant point easting doubt on the common whiting is that such physicians as （ialen，Cenocrates，and Oribasius would almost certainly have commented on the dangers of eating it out of season．In addition，the common whiting is rare in the Mediterranean，${ }^{124}$ and it is clear that the ovi $\sigma$ кos was very common．Gos－ sen regards the equation of obos with oviokos as an outright error ${ }^{125}$ and like Thompson suggest． that the ovionos may be the poor－cocl．${ }^{126}$ But this is a very dubious identification，since the poor－cood is practically worthless as a food fish，${ }^{127}$ and Cenocrates comments favorably on the dietetic qualities of the oviбкоs．If the ovi $\sigma$ кos was not identical with the övos，it was certainly very similar to it，and identification of this fish as the common hake is therefore very plausible．

## 10．＇Ovias

Brands ${ }^{12,}$（ites ovias as a fish name used by Dorion ${ }^{129}$ and Oppian，${ }^{130}$ identifying it as one of the Gadidae．Actually，in the cited passages Dorion uses övos and Oppian óvioкos，and if Brands had delved a little deeper，he would not so readily have acknowledged himself umable to explain the extension of obos，＂ass，＂to a fish．Nicander of Thyateira ${ }^{131}$ says that there are two kinds of orapos，one of which is the ov ias and the other the aionos．Hesychius also defines ovias as a type of $\sigma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho o s$. The $\sigma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho o s$, as has already been pointed out，is the parrot－ fish，Scarus cretensis Curier and Valenciennes． Aiodos is not a fish name，but a descriptive epithet，probably alluding to the shimmering

[^6]gleam of the scales of a freshly caught fish ${ }^{132}$ ；and it was applied to varied fishes，such as the $\pi$ 的 $\kappa \eta$ （the sea－perch，Serranus scriba Cuvier and Valen－ （ciennes），${ }^{133}$ the $\mu$ of $\mu$ voos（one of the sea－breams， probably Pagellus mormyrus Cuvier and Valen－ ciemes），${ }^{134}$ the $\sigma \dot{a} \lambda \pi \eta$（the saupe，Box salpa Cuvier and Valenciennes），${ }^{135}$ and the коракivos （one of the scienids，probably Corvina nigra Cuvier and Valenciennes）．${ }^{136}$ Clearly，therefore， ovias was a descriptive epithet，alluding in the case of the $\sigma \kappa a \rho o s$ to a dull，ass－gray type；and ŏpos and óviokos were applied to certain gradoids because of their ass－gray coloration．${ }^{137}$ Iden－ tification of the ovias of Nicander as perhaps Smaris vulgaris in the Greek－English lexicon of Liddell－Scott－Jones assuredly has slight justifica－ tion．

## 11．Ka入入apias

Another Greek fish name which apparently belongs in the gadoid group is notable for the variety of forms in which it occurs．Oppian ${ }^{138}$ uses the form ка入入a ias and differentiates this fish from the ovioкos，mentioning that it also frequents muddy shallows．Archestratus ${ }^{139}$ iden－ tifies the кa入入apias with the obvos．Dorion speaks of the ra入入apias as a type of ovíкos with one spiny fin，like the river $\mu \dot{v} \rho a \iota \nu a,{ }^{140}$
${ }^{132}$ Cf．Léon Lacroix，＂Notes critiques à Athénée， Épithètes et noms de poissons dans un vers de Numenius（Athen．7．326．a），＂Mélanges Desrous－ seaux：256，note 2．Paris，1937．Strömberg（op． cit．［see note 59］：23）thinks that the word also alluded to the quick and lively movement of those fishes to which it was applied，but this is not con－ sistent with the actual applications．
${ }^{133}$ Epicharm．frg．47．48 Kaibel（Comicorum graecorum fragmenta）．
${ }^{134}$ Oppian．Hal． 1.100.
${ }^{135}$ Ib． 1.125.
${ }^{135}$ Numen．apud Athen．7．308．e．
${ }^{137}$ Strömberg（op．cit．［see note 59］：100），accept－ ing the gray coloration as the basic reason for the application of these names，points out that a fish with a large head would be regarded as stupid and obstinate and might therefore be called＂assfish．＂
${ }^{138} \mathrm{Hal} .1 .105$.
${ }^{139}$ Apud Athen． $7.316 . \mathrm{a}$（frg． 35 Ribbeck，frg． 14 Brandt）．
${ }^{140}$ Ibid．7．312．d Múpawa generally denoted the moray，Muraena helena Linnaeus，while the Euro－ pean fresh－water eel，Anguilla vulgaris Turton， was known as $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \in \lambda u s$ ，and the conger eel，Conger vulgaris Cuvier，as rórरpos．There is no fresh－ water type of moray，and Dorion＇s river $\mu \dot{v} \rho a \iota \nu a$ must therefore be a different fish．There is，more－ over，in the region known to Dorion，no fresh－ water fish similar to the moray with one spiny fin， and he was familiar with the use of ${ }_{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \in \lambda \cup \mathcal{s}$ as a term for the fresh－water eel．By elimination，accord－ ingly，Dorion may have had in mind the burbot， Lota vulgaris Cuvier，or the lamper eel，Petro－
says that the $\gamma a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \rho i s^{141}$ was also called oviбкоs，${ }^{142}$ and gives both $\chi \in \lambda \lambda a \rho i \eta s$ and $\dot{\nu} \nu$ iбкоя as synonyms for $\mu \dot{\nu} \lambda \lambda$ dos（usually a type of scie－ nid）．${ }^{143}$ Euthydemus ${ }^{144}$ identifies the $\gamma \epsilon \lambda a-$ fins ${ }^{145}$ with the òviokos and the $\beta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \chi$ оs．Hesy－ chius identifies the ràapias with the oviokos． He also gives $\chi \epsilon \lambda \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\chi \epsilon \lambda \iota \delta o \nu$ ias as syn－ onyms of $\chi \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho$ and says that $\chi \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho$ also denoted a kind of fish．

Strömberg ${ }^{146}$ thinks that the form $\chi \in \lambda \lambda a \rho$ ins may have arisen through folk etymology by a crossing of ка入入a林s with $\chi \epsilon \lambda(\lambda) \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，＂gray mullet．＂In the case of forms beginning with rad－he suspects the influence of the generic term $\gamma$ a $\lambda$ єós（shark or dogfish）．He suggests that $\gamma a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \rho i a s$ arose from $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon$ ós by the in－ fluence of $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon p i a s$（perhaps the smooth dog－ fish，Mustelus vulgaris Müller）．Neither explana－ tion is especially convincing，for Strömberg starts from the dubious hypothesis that $\gamma a \lambda$ tós and $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ are really variants of the same word and that therefore people were inclined to asso－ ciate sharks and dogfishes with the gadoids． Gossen ${ }^{147}$ calls $\chi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho$ a Laconian word which is either equivalent to $\chi \in \lambda \iota \delta o \nu i a s$ and in that case possibly denotes Luvarus imperialis Ra－ finesque，${ }^{148}$ or is equivalent to $\chi \in \lambda \lambda a \rho i a s$ and
myzon fluviatilis Linnaeus．Of these two，the latter is a somewhat more plausible identification， first because there are some Greek fish names，pre－ sumably known to Dorion，which apparently were applied to the burbot，whereas no Greek fish name can with assurance be referred to the lamper eel， and second because there was a marked tendency to confuse the lamper eel with the moray（cf． Thompson，op．cit．：164）．Gossen（＂Athen．＂［see note 32］：246）says that Dorion＇s $\mu$ ipa ${ }^{\prime}$ the Kurzschwanzaale（by which he apparently means the suborder Symbranchii）and asserts that the scholarly Dorion is the only one to report that it has only one bone（Gräte）．This supposition borders on fantasy．The Symbranchii do not re－ semble the moray，but the eel，and they do not occur in streams emptying into the Mediterrancan． Moreover，the äкауөa to which Dorion alludes is almost certainly a prominent fin ray，not a bonc． As for the raג入apias with one spiny fin，it may be noted that Motella sp．has two dorsal fins，the anterior of which is reduced to a narrow，rayed fringe，more or less concealed in the longitudinal groove，and the first ray of this anterior fin is prolonged．
${ }^{141}$ Sic Meineke；$\gamma$ a $\lambda$ גєpióas AC．
${ }^{112}$ Apud Athen． $7.315 . \mathrm{f}$ ．
${ }^{1+3}$ Ibid．3．118．c．
${ }^{1}+\mathrm{f}$ Ib． 7.315 ．f．
${ }_{1}{ }^{45}$ Sic $A ; \gamma a \lambda \lambda e \rho i \eta$ C．
${ }^{116}$ Op．cit．（see note 59 ）： 130 ．
147 ＂Hesych．＂（see note 39），no． 2296.
${ }^{148}$ The $\chi$ entiovias，or＂swallowfish，＂of the Greeks is probably the flying gurnard，Dactylopterus voli－ tans Cuvier and Valenciennes．
in that case is synonymous with oviokos and denotes the poor－cod，Gadus minutus Linnaeus． Thompson ${ }^{149}$ is also inclined to read $\chi \in \lambda \lambda a \rho i \eta s$ for $\chi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ，making it synonymous with óvíкксs． Hesychius also gives $\gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a$ and ö $\xi$ os as synonyms of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda o s$, and Gossen ${ }^{150}$ here emends to $\gamma a \lambda a \rho i a s$ and öpos．In still another definition Hesychius gives ка入apias as a synonymi of $\lambda a \zeta \zeta i \nu \eta s$ ． Pliny ${ }^{151}$ classifies the collyri（emended by some to callariae）as a smaller type of asellus．Since he identifies the bacchus as a type of asellus caught only in deep water and therefore preferred to the collyrus，the collyrus obviously must have been caught in shallow water．Collyrus is cer－ tainly a transliteration of some Greek name for one of the gadoids，possibly＊коддvpias，a con－ jectural variant of the name here discussed．

Since there are almost no descriptive clues， identification in this case must depend almost solely upon the equations made in ancient sources， and these are not entirely in harmony．They do indicate that the name must have denoted a fish very similar to or identical with the övos or the óvioкos，which would make it a type of cod or hake．As mentioned above，Gossen believes that $\chi \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho$ if equivalent to $\chi \epsilon \lambda \lambda a \rho i a s$ is a synonym of óviokos and denotes the poor－cod， Gadus minutus Linnaeus．He also so identifies the radapias．${ }^{152}$ Other scholars have similarly identified this fish name．${ }^{153}$ But when the name is used as a synonym of $\gamma$ ádos，or as a synonym of $\lambda a \zeta i{ }^{2} \eta s$, also called $\chi a \rho a \delta \rho i a s$, Gossen refers it to Gadus luscus Limnacus ${ }^{154}$ ．Brands ${ }^{155}$ says that the name is not identifiable．Neither Gadus luscus nor Gadus minutus is a very plausible identification．As has already been pointed out， the latter is practically worthless as a food fish， and the former is only a wanderer in the Medi－ terranean，almost never being caught as far to the east as Greece．It is difficult to see how it is possible to restrict the meaning any further than to say that this fish is apparently a type of cod or hake，although Thompson ${ }^{156}$ thinks that it is probably the common hake，Merluccius vulgaris Cuvier．
${ }^{149}$ Op．cil．： 285.
150 ＂Hesych．＂（sre note 39），no．338．
${ }^{151}$ N.$I I .9 .61$ and 32.146.
152 ＂Hesych．＂（see note 39），no．341．
${ }_{153}$ Cf．，e．g．，Külb in Aurust Stcier，Aristoteles und Plinius zur Geschichte der Zoologie：\＆2．Würz－ burg， 1913.

154＂Hesych．＂（sce note 39），nos， 338 and 1236.
${ }^{155}$ Op．cil．（sce note 57）： 159 ．
${ }^{156}$ Op．cil．： 97.

## 12．\ašipns

So far as ran be assertained，the only occur－ rence of $\lambda a \zeta i \nu \eta s$ as a fish name is in Hesychius， who says that it demoted the $\chi a \rho a \delta \rho i a s$ and the fish ка入apias．${ }^{157}$ As a fish name，$\lambda a \zeta i \nu \eta s$ prob－ ably represents an extension from a type of plover．${ }^{159}$ It may be a gadloid．

## 13．＂ 0 ૬\％s

Likewise，the öझos which Hesychius gives as a syonym of $\gamma \dot{\cos o s}$ is apparently the only oc－ currence of this word as a fish name，and Gossen＇s emendation to öpos is therefore plausible，more especially since there is no logical explanation for the application of this word to a fish．

## 14．Galixis

There is another fish name which bears a close resemblance to кa入入apias and its variants． Plybotimusi ${ }^{159}$ list，the $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o s$ among fishes with hard flesh．Oribasjus ${ }^{160}$ says that this fish is of bad juice and difficult to digest．Galen ${ }^{161}$ says that there is more than a single kind of radeos，and that one type，which brought a very high market price among the Romans，was called radajias ${ }^{162}$ by them，but either radeós or radє $\omega \nu u \mu o s$ by the Greeks．He aiso says that it was a fish with soft flesh，although the other radeóc had harel flesh，and that Phylot－ imus probably fell into error on this point be－ cause the fish apparently did not occur in the Greek sea and he was therefore not personally acquainted with it．
stromberg ${ }^{163}$ says that the form $\gamma a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o s$ almost certainly arose by a blending of $\gamma$ a $\lambda$ eós and rad covvipos（sic）．The latter，he says，is the name of a fish of prey that was called $\lambda$ únos and properly means＂with a beautiful name，＂ being a typical example of the application of a flattering name to a ferocious fish for the purpose of winning its goorlwill．But ка入入 $\iota \dot{\sim} \nu \cup \mu o s$ ap－ parently was not the name of a fish of prey，but of the scabbardfish．（＇ranoscopus scaber Lin－

[^7]nacus，which was ironically called by this name becuuse it was so ugly．Its byname，moreover， was not $\lambda$ úkos，＂wolf，＂but $\lambda \dot{x} \chi$ vos，＂lamp，＂ possibly applied to it because it was artive at night．With regard to $\gamma a \lambda a \xi$ ias，Strömberg， who takes this to be a Greek term，despite the explicit statement of Galen，suggests that it is perhaps a corrupt derivative of radeós，influ－ enced by a word in－akıas，such as rapa ${ }^{\text {ias．}}$ This hypothesis has little to recommend it．

The account of Galen suggests a type of shark or dogfish，but no shark or dogfish is known to have been present in Italian but not in Greek waters．A second possibility is that it may have been a type of sturgeon．On the other hand，it is barely possible that Cialen，even though he was personally acquainted with the fish，thought that its Gireek name was radeos，whereas it was actually $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ ，a name which roes not occur in his extant works．As has been mentioned be－ fore，others lapsed into error on the same point． In that event，the galaxias is probably a gadoid． Furthermore，if galaxias is synonymous with $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ ，it is also synonymous with mustela，which would make it a term for Phycis sp．and Motella sp．Pliny ranked the mustela second only to the parrotfish in his time，and Galen comments on the unusually high market price of the galax－ ias．

## 15．Ва́кхоs

Still another Greek fish name which belongs in this group is $\beta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \chi$ os．Euthydemus ${ }^{164}$ makes it symonymous with $\gamma \in \lambda a \rho i \eta s$ and oviokos． Eustathius ${ }^{165}$ gives it as a synonym of of oos and ò io ios．Xenocrates ${ }^{166}$ classifies it as a fish with flesh of intermediate consistency，and Diphilus of Siphnos ${ }^{167}$ considered it of good and abundant juice and nourishing．Pliny ${ }^{168}$ classifies the bacchus as a type of asellus caught only in the deep sea and therefore preferred to the collyri． The difficulties in this case are aggravated by the fact that $\beta$ án $\chi$ os not only must have denoted a type of gadoid but was used as an alternative term for the $\mu \dot{u} \lambda \lambda$ dos（usually one of the scienids）， like $\chi \in \lambda \lambda a \rho i \eta s$ and óviokos，${ }^{169}$ and also denoted a type of gray mullet，possibly Mugil saliens

[^8]Risso. ${ }^{170}$ The name clearly was applied to several different fishes, and identification of the gadoid to which it was sometimes applied would be simpler if the common characteristic responsible for the application of this name to such different fishes were known. The most attractive guess is that it was the gray coloration.

Although the $\beta \dot{a} \kappa \chi o s$ has been identified as the common hake, Merluccius vulgaris Cuvier, ${ }^{171}$ and as the poor-cod, Gadus minutus Linnaeus, ${ }^{172}$ the evidence is too scant to justify identifying it any more specifically than as a type of cod or hake similar to or identical with the öpos and the ò $\nu і \sigma$ коs.

## 16. Мáá $\epsilon \nu \nu o s$

Dorion ${ }^{173}$ gives $\mu \dot{a} \xi \in \epsilon \nu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{174}$ as a synonym of रadлєрias and ò $\nu i \sigma \kappa o s$. Epicharmus ${ }^{175}$ refers to $\mu a ́ S o l ~ a n d ~ H e s y c h i u s ~ t o ~ \mu a \zeta ̌ i v a l . ~ X e n o c-~$ rates ${ }^{176}$ gives $\mu a \zeta$ '́as as a synonym of $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$, stating that the flesh is rather delicate and of loose texture, lacking compactness. Theophrastus ${ }^{177}$ compares the $\mu a \zeta i v \eta s$ to an Indian fish which went out upon dry land. Múgov, which may possibly have some connection with these variants, generally denoted a type of gray mullet, perhaps Mugil auratus Risso or Mugil saliens Risso. ${ }^{178}$ Dorion apparently regarded $\mu a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu o s$ as a term for a type of cod or hake, and it is perhaps of some significance that the bib or pout, Gadus luscus Linnaeus, is called mazzia today at Catanzaro. ${ }^{179}$ One major objection to this identification is that the bib, as has already been mentioned, is only a wanderer in the Mediterranean and is almost never caught as far east as Greece. Thompson ${ }^{180}$ says that since a fish with similar habits is compared to the goby by Theophrastus in the same passage, and since one of the Sicilian vernacular names for the goby

[^9]is mazzono, uasiuns may be, inter alia, a goby name, although these fish names, in so far as they relate to $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s, \gamma a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \rho$ ias, and óví $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \kappa$ s, point to some member of the cod family.

## 17. Гádos

Dorion ${ }^{181}$ makes $\gamma \dot{\text { ádos synonymous with ö ơos, }}$ while Hesychius says that the $\gamma \dot{\text { áosos was called }}$ $\gamma \dot{a} \lambda a$ and sometimes ö $\xi o s$, emended by Gossen ${ }^{182}$ to radapias and óvos. In the Lexicon of Cyrillus $\gamma \dot{a} \lambda a$ is also given as a synonym of $\gamma \dot{a} \delta o s$.

The origin of this name is obscure. ${ }^{183}$ The term rádapos, "ass," which appears in Diogenianus, ${ }^{184}$ looks like a derivative, as does raïdáp to v, "ass," which occurs in an Amherst Papyrus of the VI/VII century. These forms have the appearance of an intermediate stage in the development of Modern Greek raï $\delta a \rho o$ $\psi a \rho o v$, "donkeyfish," the usual name of the poutassou, Pollachius poutassou Bonaparte (Merlangus poutassou Risso), ${ }^{185}$ also known as $\gamma$ סoú廿 a pov. ${ }^{186}$ This species, while common in most of the Mediterranean, becomes rare in the Italian seas; it has flesh of good taste, but soft, and decomposes rapidly. ${ }^{187}$ The common hake, Mer-
 Crete, ${ }^{188}$ and according to Mair ${ }^{189}$ is known as rádos in Greece, although a loan word from Turkish $\mu \pi а к \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta s$, "grocer," seems to be in more common use, in the form $\mu \pi а к а \lambda \dot{a} \rho o$ or $\mu \pi а к а \lambda$ cápos, also written $\beta$ кка入áos and $\beta a$ $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta s .{ }^{190}$ Gossen, ${ }^{191}$ identifying the $\gamma$ áoss with the radapias and the obvos, refers it to the bib, Gadus luscus Linnaeus, an implausible identification, as has already been pointed out.

## 18. Х $\rho \in \mu \eta s$

Hesychius gives $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \mathrm{s}$ as a synonym of oviokos. Whether $\chi \rho \epsilon \in \mu v s$ and the variants
${ }^{181}$ Apud Athen. $7.315 . f$.
152 "Hesych." (sce note 39), no. 338.
${ }^{183}$ According to Wharton (Etyma gracca, s.v.), it is of Semitic origin; but according to MussArnold (Trans. Amer. Philol. Assoc. 23: 102. 1892), this is very doubtful.
1815.36.
${ }^{155}$ (f. Hoffman-Jordan, op. cil. (see note 28): 276 ; N. ('. Apostolidès, La pêche en Girrècc, ed. 2: 29. Athens, 1907.
${ }_{186}$ Cff. D. Bikélas, "Sur la nomenclature moderne de la faune grecque," Inn. Assoc. Encourag. Etudes Grecques 12: 227. 157 s.
${ }^{187} \mathrm{Cf}$. Day, op. cil. (sec note 16) 1: 292.
${ }^{1 \times 8}$ Cf. Badham, op cil. (sce note 98) : 352.
189 Introd. of his ed. of Oppian : lxv.
190 ('f. Apostolidès, loc. cil. (see note 185); Hoff-man-Jordan, op. cil. (sec note 2S) : 27.

192 "Hesych." (see note 39), no. 338.
$\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta s, \chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \iota s$ ，and $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ should be regarderl as distinct from $\chi \rho o ́ \mu$ cs，хоó $\boldsymbol{\text { fos }}$ ，and $\chi \rho o ́ \mu$ vs is rehatable．Bramrls ${ }^{192}$ maintains that $\chi \rho o ́ \mu s s$ ，to－ gether with $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta s$ and $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu s$ ，is relaterl to $\chi \rho \in \mu \in \tau$ is $\epsilon \iota$, ＂neigh，＂and $\chi \rho o \dot{\mu o s}$ ，defined by Hesychius as＂a kind of noise，＂to which ran be arkled $\chi \rho o{ }^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{o} o s$, ＂a grating，creaking souncl．＂ He explains xoóris as meaning＂gnasher，＂ap－ plied to the fish because it uttererl a sound． Strömberg ${ }^{193}$ arceepts Brands＇etymology of $\chi \rho o ́ \mu$ cs and $\chi$ fó $\mu \eta s$ ，suggesting that the variant xpépus may have arisen by contamination of $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda u s$ ，＂turtle．＂But he thinks that $\chi \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ may he a retrograrle formation from $\chi \propto \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \tau \tau-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ，＂to clear one＇s throat．＂

Aristotle speaks of the sharp hearing of the $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \mu$ s $^{194}$ and of the grunting sound it makes，${ }^{195}$ classifies it as a shoal fish that spawns once a year，${ }^{196}$ and mentions the otolith it has in its head．${ }^{197}$ Pliny ${ }^{195}$ speaks of the flashing gleam of the chromis in the water，and Ovid ${ }^{199}$ calls it unclean（immunda）．Aelian ${ }^{200}$ says that the $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta s$ has a larger barbel than the $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ ，which has a larger barbel than the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s . ~ O p p i a n{ }^{201}$ suys that the $\chi \rho \in \notin \eta$ s lives in the sea not far from rivers or lakes，where the water is somewhat less salty and considerable silt is in suspension． Aristotle ${ }^{202}$ mentions the $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ in the same pas－ age as the $\chi \rho o \mu$ is as a fish with sharp hearing． Mair ${ }^{203}$ suspects that $\chi \rho \epsilon \mu \psi$ here is a mere variant reading for $\chi \rho o \mu$ is and should be omitted，but it may well clenote a different fish．

The xpós is of Aristotle has been identified by Cuvier，${ }^{204}$ J．Mülker，${ }^{205}$ Aubert－W immer，${ }^{206}$ Külb，${ }^{207}$ schmid，${ }^{20 *}$ Brands，${ }^{209}$ and others as the maigre，

[^10]Sciacna aquila Risss，gencrally calted $\mu$ uдoкó $\pi \iota$ in Modern Creek，${ }^{210}$ but кра⿱⿻土㇒日\zh20 ós at Chalcis and claro at Marseille．${ }^{211}$ Mair ${ }^{212}$ is inchined to con－ cur in this identifiration．Gossen identifies the xpó $\mu$ es of Hesychius as the gumard，Trigla gurnardus Linnaeus，${ }^{213}$ and with regird to Hesy－ chius＇equation of $\dot{o} \nu$ i $\sigma \kappa$ кos with $\chi \rho \dot{\prime} \mu$ us，he says ${ }^{214}$ that if the word is equivillent to $\chi \rho o \mu$ is，it also denotes the gurnard，as in Oppian．${ }^{215}$ He sim－ ilarly identifies ${ }^{216}$ the $\chi \rho o \mu$ us of Aelian，on the ground that it could hear well ${ }^{217}$ and grunted．${ }^{218}$ He refers ${ }^{219}$ the кр́є $\mu$ us that had an otolith in its head ${ }^{220}$ to the relater！species Trigla pini Bloch．But he identifies the $\chi$ р＇$\neq \mu$＇s of Aclian 221 as the bearded ophidlum，Ophidium barbatum Limnaeus，which is common throughout the Mediterrancan and in the Adriatic，but has coarse flesh that is inferior as a food．${ }^{222}$ Thomp－ son，${ }^{223}$ who regards these names as variants， says that they denote Sciaena aquila and some－ times Cimbrina cirrhosa．Aelian＇s account of the $\chi \rho \dot{f} \mu \eta s$ in particular，he says，suggests the latter species，which has so conspicuous a barbel， or knob，below its chin that fishermen at Bayonne and Arcachon call it verrue，or bourrugue or bourruget，a word meaning＂wart．＂

Unless Heshchius＇equation of ovioкоs with $\chi c \dot{\epsilon} \mu v s$ is an outright error，$\chi \rho \epsilon \not \mu \nu s$ and its variants $\chi \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \mu \iota s, \chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \eta$ ，and $\chi \propto \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ must have been used，although infrequently，as terms for a type of cod or hake，possibly Phycis sp．and Motella sp．，and should therefore be regarded as distinct in usage from $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \mu$ is and its variants， even though these words may all be cognates． This hypothesis is rendered more plausible by the fact that Aelian associates the $\chi \rho{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \gamma_{i}$ c closely with the $\gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ and the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ and uses $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \mu$ us as a term for a distinctly different kind of fish．

## 19．Фuкis

Another fish name that merits discussion in connection with the gadoids is фukis．Aris－

[^11]totle ${ }^{224}$ states that oviparous fish as a rule spawn but once a year, but that the small фuкiocs are an exception, spawning twice a year, The male, moreover, differs from the female in being blacker and having larger scales. Elsewhere ${ }^{225}$ he classifies the $\phi u \kappa$ is among the fish that feed habitually on mud or seaweed or sea moss or the so-called stalk weed or growing plants and remarks that the only meat it will touch is that of prawns. In the light of his comment on the fish's diet, it is noteworthy that $\phi \cup \kappa$ is is apparently a derivative of $\phi \tilde{u}$ ксs, "seaweed," probably applied to the fish either because it fed on seaweed or because it was commonly found among seaweed. ${ }^{226}$ Aristotle ${ }^{227}$ in another passage says that the фuкis, like the кóттvфos and the кiх入 $\eta$ (probably Crenilabrus pavo Cuvier and Valenciennes and Coricus rostratus Cuvier and Valenciennes), changes its color. Usually it is white, but in spring it is mottled; and it is the only fish that builds a nest for itself, the female laying her spawn in this bed or nest. In still another passuge ${ }^{228}$ he describes the $\phi v \kappa$ is as being sticklebacked and having a speckled skin. Plutarch ${ }^{229}$ and Ovid ${ }^{230}$ also refer to this habit of building a nest. Pliny ${ }^{231}$ translates the above passage of Aristotle almost verbatim and in another passage ${ }^{232}$ classifies the phycis as a rockfish. Other authors also classify it as a rockfish, ${ }^{233}$ and it is so defined in various lemmas. ${ }^{234}$

The reference to nest-building led Cuvier ${ }^{235}$ to identify the $\phi$ кís as the black goby, Gobius niger Linnaeus, an identification originally appproved by Thompson, ${ }^{236}$ who referred to Aristotle for support. According to Aubert-Wim-
${ }_{224}$ Hist. an. 567 b 18.
${ }^{225} \mathrm{Ib} .591 \mathrm{~b} 10$.
${ }^{226}$ Cf. Brands, op. cit. (see note 57) : 182. Strömberg (op. cit. [see note 59]: 83) suggests the following factors: (1) The fish fed on seaweed; (2) it changed color with the seasons like certain types of seaweed; and (3) it built a nest in the midst of alge and seaweed.
${ }^{227}$ II ist. an. 607 b 18; cf. Aelian. Nat. an. 12.28; Plin. N.II. 9.81.
${ }_{228}^{228}$ Apud Athen. 7.319.c.
${ }^{229}$ Mor. 981 .f (De sollertia animalium 33).
${ }^{230} \mathrm{Hal} .122$.
${ }^{231}$ N. II. 9.81.
${ }_{232}$ Id. 32.150 .
${ }^{233}$ Cf. Ps.-Hippocr. De diaet. 2.48; Galen. Alim. fac. 3.27, 29 (pp. 367.1 and 368.3 Helmreich); 「ict. all. 8.59 (p. $4+2.16$ Helmreich); Oribas. Coll. med. 3.2.10; Diocles apud Athen. 7.305.b; Numen. ib. 7.319.b; Oppian. Hal. 1.126.
${ }^{234}$ Cf. Papendick, op. cit. (see note 31) : 4,7 .
${ }^{235} \mathrm{Op}$. cit. (see note 61) 4: 151; 12: 7 .
${ }_{235}$ Note on Aristot. Hist. an. 567 b 20.
mer, ${ }^{237}$ Aristotle’s allusion to stickles pointed to the stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus Linnaeus, and the 10 -spined stickleback, Gasterosteus pungitius Linnaeus, which build nests, or to Gobius niger, the former being the more attractive identification. But Mair ${ }^{238}$ says that it is now known that some at least of the wrasses build nests and that all the other evidence points to one of the wrasses. He calls attention to the fact that the scholiast on Oppian ${ }^{239}$ equates $\phi v \kappa i \delta \epsilon s$ with $\lambda a \pi i v a \iota$ and concludes from the fact that Crenilabrus pavo is called $\lambda \dot{\eta} \pi a \iota \nu a$ in Modern Greek, ${ }^{240} \lambda a \pi i \nu a \quad \mu a \dot{\rho} \rho \eta$ and $\lambda a \pi i \nu a \mu \in \gamma \dot{a} \lambda \eta$ at Chalcis, ${ }^{241}$ that it is likely that this is the $\phi$ кк is of the ancients. Thompson ${ }^{242}$ subsequently identified the $\phi \dot{k} \eta \boldsymbol{s}$ or $\phi v \kappa$ is as a wrasse, perhaps especially Labrus mixtus Linnaeus, but confused at times with the gobies, which are also nestbuilders.

Numerous variants of lapina and lapaina are found in Italy and Sicily, mostly denoting Crenilabrus pavo and allied species. These apparently go back to a Latin form labeo "biglipped. ${ }^{243}$ Italian fico, which probably goes back to the root of $\phi u \kappa$ is, is discussed at considerable length by Barbier, ${ }^{24+}$ who says that in the Italian-English dictionary of Florio (1688) phici, phiscide, fici, fico are defined as follows: " $a$ fish that is sometimes white and sometimes thick with black spots, and frames itself a nest in the grass or reeds by the sea-side, and there deposits its spawn and sits on the young, known in Rome as fico." Barbier assumes from the definition that this is the phycis of Rondelet, ${ }^{2+5}$ who described the fish in very similar terms and says that it was called mole by the French, phyen by the Italians, molere by the spaniards, and sometimes pittara at Naples. This fish is Phycis meditorraneus De lal Roche, and Barbier found this identification of the $\phi v \kappa$ is of the ancients more acceptable than the onc made by

[^12]Cuvier. Nore recently Gossen ${ }^{216}$ has arlvanced the same irlentification.

Barbier comments on the possibility that mames from the root of $\phi$ ok is were applied to various fishes, in view of the variants $\phi v$ is, $\phi$ íк $\eta \nu$, and $\phi$ úк $\eta s$. Rondelet ${ }^{2.17}$ believed that the $\phi$ okis and the $\phi$ ún $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { were different fishes. }\end{aligned}$ Brands, ${ }^{2 \cdot 4}$ recognizing only two variants, $\phi$ vois and $\phi \dot{u} \kappa \eta s$, the former feminine and the latter masculine, says that while the former may lave denoted the female and the latter the male of the same species, it is more probable that the two denoted different fishes. Barbier cites from Carus ${ }^{219}$ as examples of fish names from the root of $\phi$ vis the following: (1) fico at Pome, fica at Naples, and figo at Venice for Phycis mediterrancus De la Roche; (2) baca ficu and pesce ficu in Sicily, figora at Rome, fica at Naples, pesce fyra at Palermo, and pisci ficu at Messina for Gadus minutus Limnaeus; (3) Italian fico for Gadus luscus Lacépète; and (4) Italian pesce fico "codfish" (Florio) and figaotto at Genoa for Gadiculus blemmioides Günther. He goes on to say that the root of $\phi$ ukis has also been the source of names for other fishes than gadoids and their close relatives, but that these names always refer to fishes which frequent seaweed or feed on it. Sciaena aquila Risso, for eximple, bears such names as figoun and figou in the Provence (figon at Mice), fagao at Genoa (fegaro, according to Bonaparte; figau, uccorrling to Sassi), figao on Elba, fico at Tunis, and French fégaro at Damiette. Schuchardt ${ }^{250}$ givea figa and figo as names for Stromateus fiatola Linnaeus at Arlia (also called figa at Venice and figo at Trieste, according to Carus) and figa at Spalato for Labrus bimaculatus Linnaeus (Labrus mixtus Linnaeus). He explains these names as an allusion to the color of the fish, and Barbier, assuming that he derives them from Latin ficus, fica "fig." characterizes the application as farfetcherl, in view of the coloration of Stromateus fatola, which in no respect resembles that of a fig. He believes that these names also go back to the root of $\phi$ кis. Modern Greek фuкó $\psi a \rho o$ is a generic term for any species of wrasse. ${ }^{251}$

[^13]One factor of importance which has been given almost no comsideration is that $\phi$ ok is is in no ascertainable instance equaterl witl a name identifiable as one of the garloids, and it has already been noterl that one of the primary difficulties met in idenifying names applied to garloids is a marked tendency to make these names mutually synonymous. As a result, it is generally easy to recognize that a given name belongs in the gadoid group, but often impossible to assign it with certainty to any particular speries. It reems almost certain that the $\phi v \kappa$ is was a type of nest-building Wrasse, and Mair's identification is probably correct. At the same time, it is possible that a Latin name from the root of $\phi v \kappa$ is, unrecorded in the extant literature, was applied not only to certain types of wrasse, but also to certain gadoids, in both cases because the fishes were closely associ ated with seaweed.

## 20. $I \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho i s$

For the reasons given above, the $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho$ is defined by Hesychius as a name for the $\phi v \kappa$ is and mentioned by Pliny ${ }^{252}$ in connection with the phycis, identified by Gossen ${ }^{253}$ as perhap)s Phycis mediterraneus De la Roche, should be referred to the wrasses.

## 21. Bpútzos

Hesychius defines $\beta \rho u ́ \tau \tau o s$ as a kind of pelagic echinoderm, also the name of a fish. The echinoderm, mentioned by Aristotle, ${ }^{254}$ is probably Brissus unicolor Klein. Gossen ${ }^{255}$ identifies the fish as perhaps Physiculus daluicki Kaup, a small gadoid which resembles a sea-urchin. Thompson ${ }^{256}$ does not venture an identification.

## 22. AsELLUS

Latin asellus, "assfish," is approximately equivalent to and perhaps a translation of Greek ovíкos. It is of ten equated with ovioкоs in lemmas, ${ }^{257}$ as it is in Cassius Felix. ${ }^{258}$ Pliny, ${ }^{259}$ moreover, uses asellus to translate Aristotle's övos. Asellus, övos, and ò $\nu \dot{\sigma} \kappa$ коs all mean "assfish," asellus and òiбкоs being diminutive
${ }_{252}$ N.H. 32.149.
253 "Hesych." (see note 39), no. 1266.
${ }^{254}$ Hist. an. 530 b 4.
${ }^{255}$ "Hesych." (see note 39), no. 328.
${ }^{256}$ Glossary: 36.
${ }^{257}$ E.g., Hermen. Amplon. 89.2,37; Hermen Leid. 16.54; Hermen. Tat. 436.34 ; Hermen. Monac 18\%.2.
${ }^{258} 28$ (p. 44.17 Rose).
${ }^{259}$ I. Н. $9.61 ; 32.145$.
formations; and asellus, like the Greek names, refers to the color of the fish. ${ }^{260}$ Pliny speaks of two kinds of asellus, the collyrus (or callarias) and the bacchus, of which the bacchus, a larger type, was preferred because it was caught only in the deep sea.

Barbier ${ }^{261}$ identifies the asellus of Pliny as the common hake, Merluccius vulgaris Fleming, an identification which receives some support from the Romance nomenclature. Rondelet ${ }^{262}$ reports that in his day this species was called asello and nasello in Liguria, and Faujas and Sassi report nasello as current at Genoa. ${ }^{263}$ Today this species is called asinel at Fiume, naselli at Genoa, and nasello at Ancona. ${ }^{264}$ In addition, Barbier cites Rolland as giving nasello as current on Elba and Malaspina as giving nasell as current at Parma. He also calls attention to French anon and anon de mer as names for the eglefin, Gadus aeglefinus Linnaeus. Italian nasello is reported as a name for Merlucius cyprinus by Meyer-Lübke, ${ }^{265}$ although there is no confirmation from other sources. Badham ${ }^{266}$ believed that the asellus was the sea-tench, Phycis mediterraneus De la Roche, better known as the Mediterranean hake. On the basis of the Romance nomenclature, the asellus is more plausibly referred to the common hake. Since Pliny indicates that the name was applied to at least two types, one of these would be the common hake and the other possibly the Mediterranean hake or the fork-beard hake, Phycis blennioides Bloch and Schneider.

## 23. Asinus

The fish name asinus appears in Polemius Silvius ${ }^{267}$ in the form asinis. As asellus is equivalent to $\dot{o} \nu i \sigma \kappa o s$, so asinus is equivalent to övos. This name is almost certainly, like the others, an allusion to the ass-gray color of the fish. ${ }^{268}$ The evidence is insufficient to permit a specific identification, but it may be noted that
${ }^{260}$ Cf. Varro Ling. 5.77 and note of R. G. Kent ad loc.
${ }^{261}$ Op. cit. (see note 104): 26.
${ }^{272}$ Op. cit. (see note 245 ) 9 (ix) : 272-276.
${ }^{263}$ Cf. Carus, op. cit. (see note 5) 2: 573.
${ }^{264}$ Cf. La pesca nei mari (see note t) $3: 95,60,31$.
${ }^{265}$ Op. cit. (see note 19): 56.
${ }^{266}$ Op. cit. (see note 98) : 350-353.
${ }^{267}$ Chron. min. I, 54, 6. Cf. H. Schuchardt, "Zu den Fischnamen des Polemius Silvius," Zeitschr. roman. Philol. 30: 719. 1906. Thompson (Glossary, p. 183), unjustifiably, it appears, suspects an error in copying Plin. X.H. 9.61 .
${ }^{268}$ Cf. Barbier, "Noms 'de poissons," Rev. Langues Romanes 54: 151. 1911.

Rondelet ${ }^{269}$ reported asino as a current Italian name for the common hake, Merluccius vulgaris, and Thompson ${ }^{270}$ cites asinel at Fiume for this species.

The conclusions reached relative to the meanings of the ancient names may be summarized as follows:

## GREEK NAMES

1. Ва́кхоs (sect. 15): Apparently a name for one of the gadoids, as well as a type of scienid and a type of gray mullet.
2. Boútros (sect. 21): Possibly the small gadoid Physiculus dalwicki Kaup, although this is very uncertain.
3. Гá $\dot{0}$ os (sect. 17): One of the gadoids, similar to the övos and the obiokos.
4. $\Gamma a \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ (sect. 2): When the habitat is the sea, it may denote Phycis sp . and Motella sp. When it refers to a freshwater fish, it probably denotes principally the burbot, Lota vulgaris Cuvier, but also probably sometimes the lampereel, Petromyzon fluviatilis Linnaeus.
5. $\Delta \in \lambda \kappa a \nu$ ós (sect. 5): Probably the burbot, Lota vulgaris Cuvier.
6. "Htaros (sect. 3): One of the larger marine gadoids, possibly a type of ling.
7. Ka入入apias (sect. 11): Probably one of the smaller marine gadoids.
8. Aasiuns (sect. 12): Possibly one of the gadoids.
9. $\Lambda \in$ Bias (sect. 4): When the habitat is the sea, it denotes one of the marine gadoids. As a lake fish, it is probably the burbot, Lota vulgaris Cuvier. As a Nile fish, it is probably Labeo niloticus Cuvier.
10. $\lambda \in \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho i$ (sect. 20) : A type of wrasse.
11. Má $\xi \in \epsilon \nu=s$ (sect. 16): One of the gadoids.
12. 'Ovias (sect. 10): A descriptive term, not a fish name.
13. 'Ovíбкos (sect. 9): Probably denotes the common hake, Merluccius mulgaris Cuvier.
14. "Opos (sect. S): Probably" denotes the Mediterranean hake, Phycis mediterraneus De la Roche, and the fork-beard hake. Phycis blennoides Bloch and schneider.
15. "Ogos (sect. 13): Probably a ms. error for ö ơos.
16. $\Pi \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ (sect. 6): Probably one of the larger marine gatoids.
17. II $о$ о́ßatov (sect. 7): Probably one of the larger marine gadoids.

1s. Фukis (sect. 19): A trpe of wrase, probably Crenilabrus paro Cuvier and Talenciemes.
19. X $\rho \in ́ \mu \eta s($ sect. 15) : Possibly (lenntes Phycis sp. and Motella sp.
${ }^{269}$ Loc. cit. (see note 261).
${ }^{270}$ Glossary: 97.

## L. 1 TIN N.AMES

1. Astalu- (sect. 22): Probably principally the common Lake, Merluceius melgaris Cuvier, possibly aho the Mediterranean hake, Phyeis mediterraneus De ta Roche, or the fork-beard hake, Phycis blemioides Bloch and schneider.
2. Assinus (sect. 23): Possibly the common hake, Merluccius vulgaris Cuvier.
3. Galaxias (sect. 14): Possibly a synonym of mustelta, used more particularly as a term for Phycis sp. and Motelle sp.
4. Mustela (sect. 1): When the habitat is the sea, it probably denotes Phycis sp. and Motella sp. When it refers to a freshwater fish, it denotes principally the burbot, but probably also sometimes the Lamper-eel, Petromyzon fluvialilis Linnaeus.

The major applications of ancient names 10 various species of gadoids were as follows:

1. Phyeis medilerraneus De la Roche and Phycis blennioides Bloch and Schneider: Usually called mustela in Latin, perhaps also asellus and galaxias. Usually called övos in Greek, perhaps also $\gamma \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\lambda} \bar{\eta}$ and $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \mathrm{\eta}$.
2. Merluecius vulgaris Cuvier: Usually called oviokos in Greek and asellus in Latin, perhaps also asinus.
3. Molella lricirrala Nilsson: Usually called mustela in Latin, perhaps also galaxias. Perhaps known as $\gamma a \lambda \bar{\eta}$ and $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\prime} \mu \eta$ in Greek. Molella mustela Nilsson: Usually called mustela in Latin, perhaps also galaxias.
4. Lota rulgaris Cuvier: Known as mustela in Latin and as $\gamma \quad \lambda \lambda \bar{\eta}, \lambda \epsilon \beta$ ias, and $\delta \epsilon \lambda \kappa a \nu o ́ s$ in Greek.
5. Molea clongata Risso: Perhaps known in Greek as $\ddot{\pi} \pi a \tau o s$.

There is little evidence that codfishes were much estcemed as food fish by the Greeks. Archestratus ${ }^{271}$ says that oovor of respectable size were caught at Anthedon, but that he personally found the flesh rather spongy and umpalatable, although others praisedit highly. Anaxandrides ${ }^{272}$ mentions oryou as a banquet item; Diphilus ${ }^{273}$ says that the $\lambda_{\in} \beta$ ias was popular among the Rhodians; and Archestratus ${ }^{274}$ speaks of buying both the $\lambda \in \beta$ ias and the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a$ atos at Delos and Tenos.

[^14]When we turn to the Romans, we find a different pieture. Eminiss ${ }^{275}$ considered the marine mustela superior to all other fishes when canght at Clipea, and Pliny ${ }^{276}$ ranked it second only to the parrotfish in his time, ahthough it was esteemed chiefly for its liver. The mustela of the Lake of Constance, which must be the burbot, in his opinion compared favorably in excellence of taste with the marine mustela. As for the asellus, Pliny ${ }^{277}$ says that in former times the asellus and the sea-bass ranked second only to the sturgeon. It was still in high favor not long before his time, for in the reign of Nero there was a saying, post asellum diaria non sumo ("After an asellus I don't take ordinary fare"). ${ }^{278}$ Ovid, ${ }^{279}$ moreover, considered the asellus quite unworthy of such an ugly name. According to Varro, ${ }^{280}$ the best specimens were caught off Pessinus in Galatia. In view of the obvious esteem in which the asellus was held, it is somewhat surprising to find that the only use made of it in the cookbook of Apicius is in grated form as an ingredient in an elaborate milk dish. ${ }^{281}$ Possibly this is a reflection of Greek taste.

The ancient physicians were inclined to regard codfishes with favor from the dietetic point of view. Galen considered the óvos and the ovionos tender and relatively easy to digest, ${ }^{282}$ although he deemed ovioкou taken off river mouths somewhat inferior and more difficult to digest. ${ }^{283}$ Xenocrates ${ }^{284}$ say's that the flesh of the oviokos is difficult to assimilate, but easy to pass, and nourishing. In another passage, ${ }^{285}$ he approves the flesh of the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ and the $\mu$ as'eas as being of good taste, although hard to digest, but nourishing and easily passed. Oribasius ${ }^{286}$ recommends the $\ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau o s$ as providing a nourishment that is excellent for feeble persons and invalids. Euthydemus ${ }^{287}$ remarks that the $\delta \epsilon \lambda \kappa a \nu$ ós is very wholesome when pickled in brine.
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